



CHAPTER 5

5.0	OPEN CHANNEL HYDROLOGY AND DESIGN	5-1
5.1	OVERVIEW.....	5-1
5.1.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5-1
5.1.2	OPEN CHANNEL TYPES	5-1
5.2	SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS	5-2
5.3	DESIGN CRITERIA	5-3
5.3.1	GENERAL CRITERIA	5-3
5.3.2	CHANNEL TRANSITIONS.....	5-3
5.3.3	VELOCITY LIMITATIONS	5-4
5.4	MANNING’S N VALUES	5-4
5.5	UNIFORM FLOW CALCULATIONS	5-6
5.5.1	DESIGN CHARTS.....	5-6
5.5.2	MANNING’S EQUATION.....	5-6
5.5.3	GEOMETRIC RELATIONSHIPS.....	5-6
5.5.4	DIRECT SOLUTIONS.....	5-7
5.5.5	TRIAL AND ERROR SOLUTIONS	5-15
5.6	CRITICAL FLOW CALCULATIONS.....	5-17
5.6.1	BACKGROUND	5-17
5.6.2	SEMI-EMPIRICAL EQUATIONS	5-18
5.7	VEGETATIVE DESIGN	5-22
5.7.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5-22
5.7.2	DESIGN STABILITY	5-22
5.7.3	DESIGN CAPACITY	5-23
5.8	RIPRAP DESIGN.....	5-24
5.8.1	ASSUMPTIONS	5-24
5.8.2	PROCEDURE.....	5-25
5.9	UNIFORM FLOW-EXAMPLE PROBLEMS	5-29
5.10	GRADUALLY VARIED FLOW.....	5-33
5.10.1	BACKGROUND	5-33
5.10.2	DIRECT STEP METHOD	5-33
5.10.3	STANDARD STEP METHOD	5-33
5.11	GRADUALLY VARIED FLOW-EXAMPLE PROBLEMS	5-33
5.12	RECTANGULAR, TRIANGULAR, AND TRAPEZOIDAL DESIGN FIGURES	5-33
5.12.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5-33
5.12.2	DESCRIPTION OF FIGURES	5-34
5.12.3	INSTRUCTIONS FOR RECTANGULAR AND TRAPEZOIDAL FIGURES.....	5-34
5.12.4	GRASSED CHANNEL FIGURES.....	5-39
5.12.5	GRASSED CHANNEL DESIGN CRITERIA.....	5-40
5.12.6	DESCRIPTION OF FIGURES	5-40
5.12.7	INSTRUCTIONS FOR GRASSED CHANNEL FIGURES.....	5-40
5.13	OPEN CHANNEL DESIGN FIGURES.....	5-43
5.14	TRIANGULAR CHANNEL NOMOGRAPH.....	5-72
5.15	GRASSED CHANNEL DESIGN FIGURES	5-73

5.16 REFERENCES 5-78
LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 5-1 MANNING'S N VALUES FOR VEGETATED CHANNELS 5-9
 FIGURE 5-2 NOMOGRAPH FOR THE SOLUTION OF MANNING'S EQUATION 5-14
 FIGURE 5-3 SOLUTION OF MANNING'S EQUATION FOR TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNELS 5-15
 FIGURE 5-4 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL CAPACITY CHART 5-17
 FIGURE 5-5 OPEN CHANNEL GEOMETRIC RELATIONSHIPS FOR VARIOUS CROSS SECTIONS
 5-21
 FIGURE 5-6 PROTECTION LENGTH, LP, DOWNSTREAM OF CHANNEL BEND 5-24
 FIGURE 5-7 RIPRAP LINING BEN CORRECTION COEFFICIENT 5-26
 FIGURE 5-8 RIPRAP LINING SPECIFIC WEIGHT CORRECTION COEFFICIENT 5-27
 FIGURE 5-9 RIPRAP LINING D30 STONE SIZE- FUNCTION OF MEAN VELOCITY AND DEPTH 5-
 28
 FIGURE 5-10 RIPRAP LINING THICKNESS ADJUSTMENTS FOR D85/D15 = 1.0 TO 2.3 5-30
 FIGURE 5-11 EXAMPLE NOMOGRAPH #1 5-36
 FIGURE 5-12 EXAMPLE NOMOGRAPH #2 5-38
 FIGURE 5-13 EXAMPLE NOMOGRAPH #3 5-39
 FIGURE 5-14 EXAMPLE NOMOGRAPH #4 5-42
 FIGURE 5-15 EXAMPLE NOMOGRAPH #5 5-43

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 5-1 SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS 5-2
 TABLE 5-2 MAXIMUM VELOCITIES FOR COMPARING LINING MATERIALS 5-4
 TABLE 5-3 MAXIMUM VELOCITIES FOR VEGETATIVE CHANNEL LININGS 5-5
 TABLE 5-4 MANNING'S ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENTS (N) FOR ARTIFICIAL CHANNELS 5-8
 TABLE 5-5 UNIFORM FLOW VALUES OF ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT N 5-10
 TABLE 5-6 UNIFORM FLOW VALUES OF ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT N (CONTINUED) 5-11
 TABLE 5-7 CLASSIFICATION OF VEGETAL COVERS AS TO DEGREES OF RETARDANCE... 5-12
 TABLE 5-8 CRITICAL DEPTH EQUATIONS FOR UNIFORM FLOW IN SELECTED CHANNEL
 CROSS SECTIONS 5-20

5.0 OPEN CHANNEL HYDROLOGY AND DESIGN

5.1 Overview

5.1.1 Introduction

Open channel systems and their design are an integral part of stormwater drainage design, particularly for development sites utilizing better site design practices and open channel structural controls. Open channels include drainage ditches, grass channels, dry and wet enhanced swales, riprap channels and concrete-lined channels.

The purpose of this section is to provide an overview of open channel design criteria and methods, including the use of channel design nomographs.

5.1.2 Open Channel Types

The three main classifications of open channel types according to channel linings are vegetated, flexible and rigid. Vegetated linings include grass with mulch, sod and lapped sod, and wetland channels. Riprap and some forms of flexible man-made linings or gabions are examples of flexible linings, while rigid linings are generally concrete or rigid block.

Vegetative Linings – Vegetation, where practical, is the most desirable lining for an artificial channel. It stabilizes the channel body, consolidates the soil mass of the bed, checks erosion on the channel surface, provides habitat and provides water quality benefits (see [Section 1.4](#) and [Chapter 3](#) for more details on using enhanced swales and grass channels for water quality purposes).

Conditions under which vegetation may not be acceptable include but are not limited to:

- High velocities
- Standing or continuously flowing water
- Lack of regular maintenance necessary to prevent growth of taller or woody vegetation
- Lack of nutrients and inadequate topsoil
- Excessive shade

Proper seeding, mulching and soil preparation are required during construction to assure establishment of healthy vegetation.

Flexible Linings – Rock riprap, including rubble, is the most common type of flexible lining for channels. It presents a rough surface that can dissipate energy and mitigate increases in erosive velocity. These linings are usually less expensive than rigid linings and have self-healing qualities that reduce maintenance. However, they may require the use of a filter fabric depending on the underlying soils, and the growth of grass and weeds may present maintenance problems.

Rigid Linings – Rigid linings are generally constructed of concrete and used where high flow capacity is required. Higher velocities, however, create the potential for scour at channel lining transitions and channel headcutting.

5.2 Symbols and Definitions

To provide consistency within this section as well as throughout this Manual, the symbols listed in Table 5-1 will be used. These symbols were selected because of their wide use. In some cases, the same symbol is used in existing publications for more than one definition. Where this occurs in this section, the symbol will be defined where it occurs in the text or equations.

Table 5-1 Symbols and Definitions

<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Definition</u>	<u>Units</u>
α	Energy coefficient	-
A	Cross-sectional area	ft ²
b	Bottom width	ft
C _g	Specific weight correction factor	-
D or d	Depth of flow	ft
d	Stone diameter	ft
delta d	Superelevation of the water surface profile	ft
dx	Diameter of stone for which x percent, by weight, of the gradation is finer	ft
E	Specific energy	ft
Fr	Froude Number	-
g	Acceleration of gravity	32.2 ft/s ²
h _{loss}	Head loss	ft
K	Channel conveyance	-
k _e	Eddy head loss coefficient	ft
K _T	Trapezoidal open channel conveyance factor	-
L	Length of channel	ft
L _p	Length of downstream protection	ft
n	Manning's roughness coefficient	-
P	Wetted perimeter	ft
Q	Discharge rate	cfs
R	Hydraulic radius of flow	ft
R _c	Mean radius of the bend	ft
S	Slope	ft/ft
SW _s	Specific weight of stone	lbs/ft ³
T	Top width of water surface	ft
V or v	Velocity of flow	ft/s
w	Stone weight	lbs
y _c	Critical depth	ft
y _n	Normal depth	ft
z	Critical flow section factor	-

5.3 Design Criteria

5.3.1 General Criteria

The following criteria should be followed for open channel design:

- Channels with bottom widths greater than 10 feet shall be designed with a minimum bottom cross slope of 12 to 1, or with compound cross sections.
- Channel side slopes shall be stable throughout the entire length and side slope shall depend on the channel material. A maximum of 2:1 should be used for channel side slopes, unless otherwise justified by calculations. Roadside ditches should have a maximum side slope of 3:1.
- Trapezoidal or parabolic cross sections are preferred over triangular shapes.
- For vegetative channels, design stability should be determined using low vegetative retardance conditions (Class D) and for design capacity higher vegetative retardance conditions (Class C) should be used.
- For vegetative channels, flow velocities within the channel should not exceed the maximum permissible velocities given in Tables 5-2 and 5-3.
- If relocation of a stream channel is unavoidable, the cross-sectional shape, meander, pattern, roughness, sediment transport, and slope should conform to the existing conditions insofar as practicable. Some means of energy dissipation may be necessary when existing conditions cannot be duplicated.
- Streambank stabilization should be provided, when appropriate, as a result of any stream disturbance such as encroachment and should include both upstream and downstream banks as well as the local site.
- Open channel drainage systems are sized to handle a 25-year design storm. The 100-year design storm should be routed through the channel system to determine if the 100-year plus applicable building elevation restrictions are exceeded, structures are flooded, or flood damages increased.

5.3.2 Channel Transitions

The following criteria shall be considered at channel transitions:

1. Transition to channel sections should be smooth and gradual. A straight line connecting flow lines at both ends of the transition should not make an angle greater than 12.5 degrees with the axis of the main channel without additional design considerations approved by Spalding County.
2. Transition sections should be designed to provide a gradual transition to avoid turbulence and eddies.
3. Energy losses in transitions should be accounted for as part of the water surface profile calculations.
4. Scour downstream from rigid-to-natural and steep-to-mild slope transition sections should be accounted for through velocity slowing and energy dissipating devices.

5.3.3 Velocity Limitations

The final design of artificial open channels should be consistent with the velocity limitations for the selected channel lining. Maximum velocity values for selected lining categories are presented in Table 5-2. Seeding and mulch should only be used when the design value does not exceed the allowable value for bare soil. Velocity limitations for vegetative linings are reported in Table 5-3. Vegetative lining calculations are presented in Section 5.7 and riprap procedures are presented in Section 5.8.

5.4 Manning's N Values

The Manning's n value is an important variable in open channel flow computations. Variation in this variable can significantly affect discharge, depth, and velocity estimates. Since Manning's n values depend on many different physical characteristics of natural and man-made channels, care and good engineering judgment must be exercised in the selection process.

Recommended Manning's n values for artificial channels with rigid, unlined, temporary, and riprap linings are given in Table 5-4. Recommended values for vegetative linings should be determined using Figure 5-1, which provides a graphical relationship between Manning's n values and the product of velocity and hydraulic radius for several vegetative retardance classifications (see Table 5-6). Figure 5-1 is used iteratively as described in Section 5.6.

Recommended Manning's values for natural channels that are either excavated or dredged and natural are given in Table 5-5. For natural channels, Manning's n values should be estimated using experienced judgment and information presented in publications such as the *Guide for Selecting Manning's Roughness Coefficients for Natural Channels and Flood Plains*, FHWA-TS- 84-204, 1984.

Table 5-2 Maximum Velocities for Comparing Lining Materials

<u>Material</u>	<u>Maximum Velocity (ft/s)</u>
Sand	2.0
Silt	3.5
Firm Loam	3.5
Fine Gravel	5.0
Stiff Clay	5.0
Graded Loam or Silt to Cobbles	5.0
Coarse Gravel	6.0
Shales and Hard Pans	6.0

Source: AASHTO Model Drainage Manual, 1991

Table 5-3 Maximum Velocities for Vegetative Channel Linings

<u>Vegetation Type</u>	<u>Slope Range (%)¹</u>	<u>Maximum Velocity² (ft/s)</u>
Bermuda grass	0->10	5
Bahia		4
Tall fescue grass mixtures ³	0-10	4
Kentucky bluegrass	0-5	6
Buffalo grass	5-10	5
	>10	4
Grass mixture	0-5 ¹	4
	5-10	3
Sericea lespedeza, Weeping lovegrass		
Alfalfa ⁵	0-5 ⁴	3
Annuals ⁵	0-5	3
Sod		4
Lapped sod		5
¹ Do not use on slopes steeper than 10% except for side-slope in combination channel. ² Use velocities exceeding 5 ft/s only where good stands can be maintained. ³ Mixtures of Tall Fescue, Bahia, and/or Bermuda ⁴ Do not use on slopes steeper than 5% except for side-slope in combination channel. ⁵ Annuals - used on mild slopes or as temporary protection until permanent covers are established.		
Source: Manual for Erosion and Sediment Control in Georgia, 1996		

5.5 Uniform Flow Calculations

5.5.1 Design Charts

Following is a discussion of the equations that can be used for the design and analysis of open channel flow. The Federal Highway Administration has prepared numerous design charts to aid in the design of rectangular, trapezoidal and triangular open channel cross sections. In addition, design charts for grass-lined channels have been developed. These charts and instructions for their use are given in subsections 5.12, 5.13 and 5.14.

5.5.2 Manning's Equation

Manning's Equation, presented in three forms below, is recommended for evaluating uniform flow conditions in open channels:

$$v = (1.49/n) R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \quad (5.1)$$

$$Q = (1.49/n) A R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \quad (5.2)$$

$$S = [Qn/(1.49 A R^{2/3})]^2 \quad (5.3)$$

Where: v = average channel velocity (ft/s)
 Q = discharge rate for design conditions (cfs)
 n = Manning's roughness coefficient
 A = cross-sectional area (ft²)
 R = hydraulic radius A/P (ft)
 P = wetted perimeter (ft)
 S = slope of the energy grade line (ft/ft)

For prismatic channels, in the absence of backwater conditions, the slope of the energy grade line, water surface and channel bottom are assumed to be equal.

5.5.3 Geometric Relationships

Area, wetted perimeter, hydraulic radius, and channel top width for standard channel cross sections can be calculated from geometric dimensions. Irregular channel cross sections (i.e., those with a narrow deep main channel and a wide shallow overbank channel) must be subdivided into segments so that the flow can be computed separately for the main channel and overbank portions. This same process of subdivision may be used when different parts of the channel cross section have different roughness coefficients. When computing the hydraulic radius of the subsections, the water depth common to the two adjacent subsections is not counted as wetted perimeter.

5.5.4 Direct Solutions

When the hydraulic radius, cross-sectional area, and roughness coefficient and slope are known, discharge can be calculated directly from Equation 5.2. The slope can be calculated using Equation 5.3 when the discharge, roughness coefficient, area, and hydraulic radius are known.

Nomographs for obtaining direct solutions to Manning's Equation are presented in Figures 5-2 and 5-3. Figure 5-2 provides a general solution for the velocity form of Manning's Equation, while Figure 5-3 provides a solution of Manning's Equation for trapezoidal channels.

Table 5-4 Manning's Roughness Coefficients (n) for Artificial Channels

Category	Lining Type	Depth Ranges		
		0-0.5 ft	0.5-2.0 ft	>2.0 ft
Rigid	Concrete	0.015	0.013	0.013
	Grouted Riprap	0.040	0.030	0.028
	Stone Masonry	0.042	0.032	0.030
	Soil Cement	0.025	0.022	0.020
	Asphalt	0.018	0.016	0.016
Unlined	Bare Soil	0.023	0.020	0.020
	Rock Cut	0.045	0.035	0.025
Temporary*	Woven Paper Net	0.016	0.015	0.015
	Jute Net	0.028	0.022	0.019
	Fiberglass Roving	0.028	0.022	0.019
	Straw with Net	0.065	0.033	0.025
	Curled Wood Mat	0.066	0.035	0.028
	Synthetic Mat	0.036	0.025	0.021
Gravel Riprap	1-inch D ₅₀	0.044	0.033	0.030
	2-inch D ₅₀	0.066	0.041	0.034
Rock Riprap	6-inch D ₅₀	0.104	0.069	0.035
	12-inch D ₅₀	---	0.078	0.040

Note: Values listed are representative values for the respective depth ranges. Manning's roughness coefficients, n, vary with the flow depth.
 *Some "temporary" linings become permanent when buried.

Source: HEC-15, 1988.




Figure 4.4-1 Manning's n Values for Vegetated Channels
 (Source: USDA, TP-61, 1947)

Figure 5-1 Manning's n Values for Vegetated Channels
 (Source: USDA, TP-61, 1947)

Table 5-5 Uniform Flow Values of Roughness Coefficient n

Type of Channel and Description	Minimum	Normal	Maximum
EXCAVATED OR DREDGED			
a. Earth, straight and uniform	0.016	0.018	0.020
1. Clean, recently completed	0.018	0.022	0.025
2. Clean, after weathering	0.022	0.025	0.030
3. Gravel, uniform section, clean	0.022	0.027	0.033
b. Earth, winding and sluggish			
1. No vegetation	0.023	0.025	0.030
2. Grass, some weeds	0.025	0.030	0.033
3. Dense weeds/plants in deep channels	0.030	0.035	0.040
4. Earth bottom and rubble sides	0.025	0.030	0.035
5. Stony bottom and weedy sides	0.025	0.035	0.045
6. Cobble bottom and clean sides	0.030	0.040	0.050
c. Dragline-excavated or dredged			
1. No vegetation	0.025	0.028	0.033
2. Light brush on banks	0.035	0.050	0.060
d. Rock cuts			
1. Smooth and uniform	0.025	0.035	0.040
2. Jagged and irregular	0.035	0.040	0.050
e. Channels not maintained, weeds and brush uncut			
1. Dense weeds, high as flow depth	0.050	0.080	0.120
2. Clean bottom, brush on sides	0.040	0.050	0.080
3. Same, highest stage of flow	0.045	0.070	0.110
4. Dense brush, high stage	0.080	0.100	0.140
NATURAL STREAMS			
Minor streams (top width at flood stage < 100 ft)			
a. Streams on Plain			
1. Clean, straight, full stage, no rifts or deep pools	0.025	0.030	0.033
2. Same as above, but more stones and weeds	0.030	0.035	0.040
3. Clean, winding, some pools and shoals	0.033	0.040	0.045
4. Same as above, but some weeds and some stones	0.035	0.045	0.050
5. Same as above, lower stages, more ineffective slopes and sections	0.040	0.048	0.055
6. Same as 4, but more stones	0.045	0.050	0.060
7. Sluggish reaches, weedy, deep pools	0.050	0.070	0.080
8. Very weedy reaches, deep pools, or floodways with heavy stand of timber and underbrush	0.075	0.100	0.150

Table 5-6 Uniform Flow Values of Roughness Coefficient n (continued)

Type of Channel and Description	Minimum	Normal	Maximum
b. Mountain streams, no vegetation in channel, banks usually steep, trees and brush along banks submerged at high stages			
1. Bottom: gravels, cobbles, few boulders	0.030	0.040	0.050
2. Bottom: cobbles with large boulders	0.040	0.050	0.070
Floodplains			
a. Pasture, no brush			
1. Short grass	0.025	0.030	0.035
2. High grass	0.030	0.035	0.050
b. Cultivated area			
1. No crop	0.020	0.030	0.040
2. Mature row crops	0.025	0.035	0.045
3. Mature field crops	0.030	0.040	0.050
c. Brush			
1. Scattered brush, heavy weeds	0.035	0.050	0.070
2. Light brush and trees in winter	0.035	0.050	0.060
3. Light brush and trees, in summer	0.040	0.060	0.080
4. Medium to dense brush, in winter	0.045	0.070	0.110
5. Medium to dense brush, in summer	0.070	0.100	0.160
d. Trees			
1. Dense willows, summer, straight	0.110	0.150	0.200
2. Cleared land, tree stumps, no sprouts	0.030	0.040	0.050
3. Same as above, but with heavy growth of spouts	0.050	0.060	0.080
4. Heavy stand of timber, a few down trees, little undergrowth, flood stage below branches	0.080	0.100	0.120
5. Same as above, but with flood stage reaching branches	0.100	0.120	0.160
Major Streams (top width at flood stage > 100 ft). The n value is less than that for minor streams of similar description, because banks offer less effective resistance.			
a. Regular section with no boulders or brush	0.025	0.060
b. Irregular and rough section	0.035	0.100
Source: HEC-15, 1988			

Table 5-7 Classification of Vegetal Covers as to Degrees of Retardance

<u>Retardance</u>	<u>Cover</u>	<u>Condition</u>
A	Weeping Lovegrass	Excellent stand, tall (average 30")
	Yellow Bluestem Ischaemum	Excellent stand, tall (average 36")
B	Kudzu	Very dense growth, uncut
	Bermuda grass	Good stand, tall (average 12")
	Native grass mixture little bluestem, bluestem, blue gamma other short and long stem midwest lovegrass	Good stand, unmowed
	Weeping lovegrass	Good stand, tall (average 24")
	Laspedeza sericea	Good stand, not woody, tall (average 19")
	Alfalfa	Good stand, uncut (average 11")
	Weeping lovegrass	Good stand, unmowed (average 13")
	Kudzu	Dense growth, uncut
	Blue gamma	Good stand, uncut (average 13")
C	Crabgrass	Fair stand, uncut (10 - 48")
	Bermuda grass	Good stand, mowed (average 6")
	Common lespedeza	Good stand, uncut (average 11")
	Grass-legume mixture: summer (orchard grass redtop, Italian ryegrass, and common lespedeza)	Good stand, uncut (6 - 8")
	Centipede grass	Very dense cover (average 6")
	Kentucky bluegrass	Good stand, headed (6 - 12")
D	Bermuda grass	Good stand, cut to 2.5"
	Common lespedeza	Excellent stand, uncut (average 4.5")
	Buffalo grass	Good stand, uncut (3 - 6")
	Grass-legume mixture: fall, spring (orchard grass, redtop, Italian ryegrass, and common lespedeza)	Good stand, uncut (4 - 5")
	Lespedeza serices	After cutting to 2" (very good before cutting)
E	Bermuda grass	Good stand, cut to 1.5"
	Bermuda grass	Burned stubble

Note: Covers classified have been tested in experimental channels. Covers were green and generally uniform.
Source: HEC-15, 1988

General Solution Nomograph

The following steps are used for the general solution nomograph in Figure 5-2:


- (Step 1) Determine open channel data, including slope in ft/ft, hydraulic radius in ft, and Manning's n value.
- (Step 2) Connect a line between the Manning's n scale and slope scale and note the point of intersection on the turning line.
- (Step 3) Connect a line from the hydraulic radius to the point of intersection obtained in Step 2.
- (Step 4) Extend the line from Step 3 to the velocity scale to obtain the velocity in ft/s.

Trapezoidal Solution Nomograph

The trapezoidal channel nomograph solution to Manning's Equation in Figure 5-3 can be used to find the depth of flow if the design discharge is known or the design discharge if the depth of flow is known.

Determine input data, including slope in ft/ft, Manning's n value, bottom width in ft, and side slope in ft/ft.

- (1) Given Q , find d .
 - a. Given the design discharge, find the product of Q times n , connect a line from the slope scale to the Qn scale, and find the point of intersection on the turning line.
 - b. Connect a line from the turning point from Step 2a to the b scale and find the intersection with the $z = 0$ scale.
 - c. Project horizontally from the point located in Step 2b to the appropriate z value and find the value of d/b .
 - d. Multiply the value of d/b obtained in Step 2c by the bottom width b to find the depth of uniform flow, d .
- (2) Given d , find Q
 - a. Given the depth of flow, find the ratio d divided by b and project a horizontal line from the d/b ratio at the appropriate side slope, z , to the $z = 0$ scale.
 - b. Connect a line from the point located in Step 3a to the b scale and find the intersection with the turning line.
 - c. Connect a line from the point located in Step 3b to the slope scale and find the intersection with the Qn scale.
 - d. Divide the value of Qn obtained in Step 3c by the n value to find the design discharge, Q .



Reference: USDOT, FHWA, HDS-3 (1961).

Figure 4.4-2 Nomograph for the Solution of Manning's Equation
 Figure 5-2 Nomograph for the Solution of Manning's Equation




Figure 4.4-3 Solution of Manning's Equation for Trapezoidal Channels

Figure 5-3 Solution of Manning's Equation for Trapezoidal Channels

5.5.5 Trial and Error Solutions

A trial and error procedure for solving Manning's Equation is used to compute the normal depth of flow in a uniform channel when the channel shape, slope, roughness, and design discharge are known. For purposes of the trial and error process, Manning's Equation can be arranged as:

$$AR^{2/3} = (Qn)/(1.49 S^{1/2}) \quad (5.4)$$

Where: A = cross-sectional area (ft)
R = hydraulic radius (ft)

Q = discharge rate for design conditions (cfs)
 n = Manning's roughness coefficient
 S = slope of the energy grade line (ft/ft)

To determine the normal depth of flow in a channel by the trial and error process, trial values of depth are used to determine A , P , and R for the given channel cross section.

Trial values of $AR^{2/3}$ are computed until the equality of Equation 5.4 is satisfied such that the design flow is conveyed for the slope and selected channel cross section.

Graphical procedures for simplifying trial and error solutions are presented in Figure 5-4 for trapezoidal channels.

- (Step 1) Determine input data, including design discharge, Q , Manning's n value, channel bottom width, b , channel slope, S , and channel side slope, z .
- (Step 2) Calculate the trapezoidal conveyance factor using the equation:

$$KT = (Qn)/(b S^{1/2}) \quad (5.5)$$

Where: KT = trapezoidal open channel conveyance factor

Q = discharge rate for design conditions (cfs)

n = Manning's roughness coefficient

b = bottom width (ft)

S = slope of the energy grade line (ft/ft)

- (Step 3) Enter the x-axis of Figure 5-4 with the value of KT calculated in Step 2 and draw a line vertically to the curve corresponding to the appropriate z value from Step 1.
- (Step 4) From the point of intersection obtained in Step 3, draw a horizontal line to the y-axis and read the value of the normal depth of flow over the bottom width, d/b .
- (Step 5) Multiply the d/b value from Step 4 by b to obtain the normal depth of flow.

Note: If bends are considered, refer to Equation 5.11




Figure 4.4-4 Trapezoidal Channel Capacity Chart
 (Source: Nashville Storm Water Management Manual, 1988)

Figure 5-4 Trapezoidal Channel Capacity Chart
 (Source: Nashville Storm Water Management Manual, 1988)

5.6 Critical Flow Calculations

5.6.1 Background

In the design of open channels, it is important to calculate the critical depth in order to determine if the flow in the channel will be subcritical or supercritical. If the flow is subcritical it is relatively easy to handle the flow through channel transitions because the

flows are tranquil and wave action is minimal. In subcritical flow, the depth at any point is influenced by a downstream control, which may be either the critical depth or the water surface elevation in a pond or larger downstream channel. In supercritical flow, the depth of flow at any point is influenced by a control upstream, usually critical depth. In addition, the flows have relatively shallow depths and high velocities.

Critical depth depends only on the discharge rate and channel geometry. The general equation for determining critical depth is expressed as:

$$Q^2/g = A^3/T \quad (5.6)$$

Where: Q = discharge rate for design conditions (cfs)
 g = acceleration due to gravity (32.2 ft/sec²)
 A = cross-sectional area (ft²)
 T = top width of water surface (ft)

Note: A trial and error procedure is needed to solve Equation 5.6.

5.6.2 Semi-Empirical Equations

Semi-empirical equations (as presented in Table 5-7) or section factors (as presented in Figure 5-5) can be used to simplify trial and error critical depth calculations. The following equation is used to determine critical depth with the critical flow section factor, Z:

$$Z = Q/(g^{0.5}) \quad (5.7)$$

Where: Z = critical flow section factor
 Q = discharge rate for design conditions (cfs)
 g = acceleration due to gravity (32.3 ft/sec²)

The following guidelines are given for evaluating critical flow conditions of open channel flow:

- (1) A normal depth of uniform flow within about 10% of critical depth is unstable and should be avoided in design, if possible.
- (2) If the velocity head is less than one-half the mean depth of flow, the flow is subcritical.
- (3) If the velocity head is equal to one-half the mean depth of flow, the flow is critical.
- (4) If the velocity head is greater than one-half the mean depth of flow, the flow is supercritical.

Note: The head is the height of water above any point, plane or datum of reference. The velocity head in flowing water is calculated as the velocity squared divided by 2 times the gravitational constant (V²/2g).

The Froude number, Fr, calculated by the following equation, is useful for evaluating the type of flow conditions in an open channel:

$$\mathbf{Fr = v/(gA/T)^{0.5}} \quad \mathbf{(5.8)}$$

Where: Fr = Froude number (dimensionless)
 v = velocity of flow (ft/s)
 g = acceleration of gravity (32.2 ft/sec²)
 A = cross-sectional area of flow (ft²)
 T = top width of flow (ft)


If Fr is greater than 1.0, flow is supercritical; if it is under 1.0, flow is subcritical. Fr is 1.0 for critical flow conditions.

Table 5-8 Critical Depth Equations for Uniform Flow in Selected Channel Cross Sections

Channel Type ¹	Semi-Empirical Equations ² for Estimating Critical Depth	Range of Applicability
1. Rectangular ³	$d_c = [Q^2 / (gb^3)]^{1/3}$	N/A
2. Trapezoidal ³	$d_c = 0.81 [Q^2 / (gz^{0.75} b^{1.25})]^{0.27} - b/30z$	$0.1 < 0.5522 Q/b^{2.5} < 0.4$ For $0.5522 Q/b^{2.5} < 0.1$, use rectangular channel equation
3. Triangular ³	$d_c = [(2Q^2) / (gz^2)]^{1/5}$	N/A
4. Circular ⁴	$d_c = 0.325(Q/D)^{2/3} + 0.083D$	$0.3 < d_c/D < 0.9$
5. General ⁵	$(A^3 / T) = (Q^2 / g)$	N/A

Where: d_c = critical depth (ft)
 Q = design discharge (cfs)
 G = acceleration due to gravity (32.3 ft/s²)
 b = bottom width of channel (ft)
 z = side slopes of a channel (horizontal to vertical)
 D = diameter of circular conduit (ft)
 A = cross-sectional area of flow (ft²)
 T = top width of water surface (ft)

¹ See Figure 4.4-5 for channel sketches
² Assumes uniform flow with the kinetic energy coefficient equal to 1.0
³ Reference: French (1985)
⁴ Reference: USDOT, FHWA, HDS-4 (1965)
⁵ Reference: Brater and King (1976)

Section	Area A	Wetted Perimeter P	Hydraulic Radius R	Top Width T	Critical Depth Factor, Z
 Trapezoid	$bd + zd^2$	$b + 2d\sqrt{z^2 + 1}$	$\frac{bd + zd^2}{b + 2d\sqrt{z^2 + 1}}$	$b + 2zd$	$\frac{[(b + zd)d]^{1.5}}{\sqrt{b + 2zd}}$
 Rectangle	bd	$b + 2d$	$\frac{bd}{b + 2d}$	b	$bd^{1.5}$
 Triangle	zd^2	$2d\sqrt{z^2 + 1}$	$\frac{zd}{2\sqrt{z^2 + 1}}$	$2zd$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} zd^{2.5}$
 Parabola	$\frac{2}{3} dT$	$T + \frac{8d^2}{3T}$ \perp	$\frac{2dT^2}{3T^2 + 8d^2}$ \perp	$\frac{3a}{2d}$	$\frac{2}{9}\sqrt{6} Td^{1.5}$
 Circle - $< \frac{1}{2}$ full \perp 2	$\frac{D^2}{8} (\frac{\pi\theta}{180} - \sin\theta)$	$\frac{\pi D\theta}{360}$	$\frac{45D}{\pi\theta} (\frac{\pi\theta}{180} - \sin\theta)$	$D \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ or $2\sqrt{d(D-d)}$	$a\sqrt{\frac{a}{D \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}}$
 Circle - $> \frac{1}{2}$ full \perp 3	$\frac{D^2}{8} (2\pi - \frac{\pi\theta}{180} + \sin\theta)$	$\frac{\pi D(360 - \theta)}{360}$	$\frac{45D}{\pi(360 - \theta)} (2\pi - \frac{\pi\theta}{180} + \sin\theta)$	$D \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ or $2\sqrt{d(D-d)}$	$a\sqrt{\frac{a}{D \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}}$

\perp Satisfactory approximation for the interval $0 < \frac{d}{T} \leq 0.25$
 When $d/T > 0.25$, use $p = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{6d^2 + T^2} + \frac{1}{8} d \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$
 \perp 2 $\theta = 4 \sin^{-1} \frac{d}{D}$ \perp Insert θ in degrees in above equations
 \perp 3 $\theta = 4 \cos^{-1} \frac{d}{D}$

Note: Small z = Side Slope Horizontal Distance
 Large z = Critical Depth Section Factor

Reference: USDA, SCS, WEH-5 (1966).

Figure 4.4-5 Open Channel Geometric Relationships for Various Cross Sections

Figure 5-5 Open Channel Geometric Relationships for Various Cross Sections

5.7 Vegetative Design

5.7.1 Introduction

A two-part procedure is recommended for final design of temporary and vegetative channel linings. Part 1, the design stability component, involves determining channel dimensions for low vegetative retardance conditions, using Class D as defined in Table 5-6. Part 2, the design capacity component, involves determining the depth increase necessary to maintain capacity for higher vegetative retardance conditions, using Class C as defined in Table 5-6. If temporary lining is to be used during construction, vegetative retardance Class E should be used for the design stability calculations.

If the channel slope exceeds 10%, or a combination of channel linings will be used, additional procedures not presented below are required. References include HEC-15 (USDOT, FHWA, 1986) and HEC-14 (USDOT, FHWA, 1983).

5.7.2 Design Stability

The following are the steps for design stability calculations:

- (Step 1) Determine appropriate design variables, including discharge, Q , bottom slope, S , cross section parameters, and vegetation type.
- (Step 2) Use Table 5-3 to assign a maximum velocity, v_m based on vegetation type and slope range.
- (Step 3) Assume a value of n and determine the corresponding value of vR from the n versus vR curves in Figure 5-1. Use retardance Class D for permanent vegetation and E for temporary construction.
- (Step 4) Calculate the hydraulic radius using the equation:

$$R = (vR)/v_m \quad (5.9)$$

Where: R = hydraulic radius of flow (ft)

vR = value obtained from Figure 5-1 in Step 3

v_m = maximum velocity from Step 2 (ft/s)

- (Step 5) Use the following form of Manning's Equation to calculate the value of vR :

$$vR = (1.49 R^{5/3} S^{1/2})/n \quad (5.10)$$

Where: vR = calculated value of vR product

R = hydraulic radius value from Step 4 (ft)

S = channel bottom slope (ft/ft)

n = Manning's n value assumed in Step 3

- (Step 6) Compare the vR product value obtained in Step 5 to the value obtained from Figure 5-1 for the assumed n value in Step 3. If the values are not reasonably close, return to Step 3 and repeat the calculations using a new assumed n value.

- (Step 7) For trapezoidal channels, find the flow depth using Figures 5-3 or 5-4, as described in Section 4.4.4.4. The depth of flow for other channel shapes can be evaluated using the trial and error procedure described in Section 4.4.4.5.
- (Step 8) If bends are considered, calculate the length of downstream protection, L_p , for the bend, using Figure 5-6. Provide additional protection, such as gravel or riprap in the bend and extending downstream for length, L_p .

5.7.3 Design Capacity

The following are the steps for design capacity calculations:

- (Step 1) Assume a depth of flow greater than the value from Step 7 above and compute the waterway area and hydraulic radius (see Figure 5-5 for equations).
- (Step 2) Divide the design flow rate, obtained using appropriate procedures from Chapter 2, by the waterway area from Step 1 to find the velocity.
- (Step 3) Multiply the velocity from Step 2 by the hydraulic radius from Step 1 to find the value of vR .
- (Step 4) Use Figure 5-1 to find a Manning's n value for retardance Class C based on the vR value from Step 3.
- (Step 5) Use Manning's Equation (Equation 5.1) or Figure 5-2 to find the velocity using the hydraulic radius from Step 1, Manning's n value from Step 4, and appropriate bottom slope.
- (Step 6) Compare the velocity values from Steps 2 and 5. If the values are not reasonably close, return to Step 1 and repeat the calculations.
- (Step 7) Add an appropriate freeboard to the final depth from Step 6. Generally, 20% is adequate.
- (Step 8) If bends are considered, calculate superelevation of the water surface profile at the bend using the equation:

$$\Delta d = (v^2 T)/(gRc) \quad (5.11)$$

Where: Δd = superelevation of the water surface profile due to the bend (ft)


v = average velocity from Step 6 (ft/s)

T = top width of flow (ft)

g = acceleration of gravity (32.2 ft/sec²)

Rc = mean radius of the bend (ft)

Note: Add freeboard consistent with the calculated Δd .



Reference: USDOT, FHWA, HEC-15 (1988).

Figure 4.4-6 Protection Length, L_p , Downstream of Channel Bend

Figure 5-6 Protection Length, L_p , Downstream of Channel Bend

5.8 Riprap Design

5.8.1 Assumptions

The following procedure is based on results and analysis of laboratory and field data (Maynard, 1987; Reese, 1984; Reese, 1988). This procedure applies to riprap placement in both natural and prismatic channels and has the following assumptions and limitations:

- Minimum riprap thickness equal to d_{100}
- The value of d_{85}/d_{15} less than 4.6
- Froude number less than 1.2
- Side slopes up to 2:1

- A safety factor of 1.2
- Maximum velocity less than 18 feet per second

If significant turbulence is caused by boundary irregularities, such as obstructions or structures, this procedure is not applicable.

5.8.2 Procedure

Following are the steps in the procedure for riprap design:

- (Step 1) Determine the average velocity in the main channel for the design condition. Manning's n values for riprap can be calculated from the equation:

$$n = 0.0395 (d_{50})^{1/6} \quad (5.12)$$

Where: n = Manning's roughness coefficient for stone riprap
 d_{50} = diameter of stone for which 50%, by weight, of the gradation is finer (ft)

- (Step 2) If rock is to be placed at the outside of a bend, multiply the velocity determined in Step 1 by the bend correction coefficient, C_b , given in Figure 5-7 for either a natural or prismatic channel. This requires determining the channel top width, T, just upstream from the bend and the centerline bend radius, R_b .

- (Step 3) If the specific weight of the stone varies significantly from 165 pounds per cubic foot, multiply the velocity from Step 1 or 2 (as appropriate) by the specific weight correction coefficient, C_g , from Figure 5-8.

- (Step 4) Determine the required minimum d_{30} value from Figure 5-9, or from the equation:

$$d_{30}/D = 0.193 Fr^{2.5} \quad (5.13)$$


Where: d_{30} = diameter of stone for which 30%, by weight, of the gradation is finer (ft)

D = depth of flow above stone (ft)

Fr = Froude number (see Equation 5.8), dimensionless

v = mean velocity above the stone (ft/s)

g = acceleration of gravity (32.2 ft/sec²)



To obtain effective velocity, multiply known velocity by C_b

T = Channel Top Width
 R_b = Centerline Bend Radius
 C_b = Correction Coefficient

Reference: Maynard (1987).

Figure 4.4-7 Riprap Lining Bend Correction Coefficient

Figure 5-7 Riprap Lining Ben Correction Coefficient





Figure 4.4-8 Riprap Lining Specific Weight Correction Coefficient
 (Source: Nashville Storm Water Management Manual, 1988)

Figure 5-8 Riprap Lining Specific Weight Correction Coefficient
 (Source: Nashville Storm Water Management Manual, 1988)



Reference: Reese (1980).

Figure 4.4-9 Riprap Lining d_{30} Stone Size – Function of Mean Velocity and Depth

Figure 5-9 Riprap Lining d_{30} Stone Size- Function of Mean Velocity and Depth

- (Step 5) Determine available riprap gradations. A well graded riprap is preferable to uniform size or gap graded. The diameter of the largest stone, d_{100} , should not be more than 1.5 times the d_{50} size. Blanket thickness should be greater than or equal to d_{100} except as noted below. Sufficient fines (below d_{15}) should be available to fill the voids in the larger rock sizes. The stone weight for a selected stone size can be calculated from the equation:

$$W = 0.5236 SWs d^3 \quad (5.14)$$

Where: W = stone weight (lbs)
 d = selected stone diameter (ft)
 SWs = specific weight of stone (lbs/ft³)

Filter fabric or a filter stone layer should be used to prevent turbulence or groundwater seepage from removing bank material through the stone or to serve as a foundation for unconsolidated material. Layer thickness should be increased by 50% for underwater placement.

- (Step 6) If d_{85}/d_{15} is between 2.0 and 2.3 and a smaller d_{30} size is desired, a thickness greater than d_{100} can be used to offset the smaller d_{30} size. Figure 5-10 can be used to make an approximate adjustment using the ratio of d_{30} sizes. Enter the y-axis with the ratio of the desired d_{30} size to the standard d_{30} size and find the thickness ratio increase on the x-axis. Other minor gradation deficiencies may be compensated for by increasing the stone blanket thickness.
- (Step 7) Perform preliminary design, ensuring that adequate transition is provided to natural materials both up and downstream to avoid flanking and that toe protection is provided to avoid riprap undermining.

5.9 Uniform Flow-Example Problems

Example 1 -- Direct Solution of Manning's Equation


Use Manning's Equation to find the velocity, v , for an open channel with a hydraulic radius value of 0.6 ft, an n value of 0.020, and slope of 0.003 ft/ft. Solve using Figure 5-2:

- (1) Connect a line between the slope scale at 0.003 and the roughness scale at 0.020 and note the intersection point on the turning line.
- (2) Connect a line between that intersection point and the hydraulic radius scale at 0.6 ft and read the velocity of 2.9 ft/s from the velocity scale.

Example 2 -- Grassed Channel Design Stability

A trapezoidal channel is required to carry 50 cfs at a bottom slope of 0.015 ft/ft. Find the channel dimensions required for design stability criteria (retardance Class D) for a grass mixture.

- (1) From Table 5-3, the maximum velocity, v_m , for a grass mixture with a bottom slope less than 5% is 4 ft/s.
- (2) Assume an n value of 0.035 and find the value of vR from Figure 5-1, $vR = 5.4$



Reference: Maynard (1987)

Figure 4.4-10 Riprap Lining Thickness Adjustment for $d_{85}/d_{15} = 1.0$ to 2.3
(Source: Maynard, 1987)

Figure 5-10 Riprap Lining Thickness Adjustments for $d_{85}/d_{15} = 1.0$ to 2.3
(Source: Maynard, 1987)

- (3) Use Equation 5.9 to calculate the value of R: $R = 5.4/4 = 1.35$ ft
- (4) Use Equation 5.10 to calculate the value of vR :

$$vR = [1.49 (1.35)^{5/3} (0.015)^{1/2}] / 0.035 = 8.60$$

- (5) Since the vR value calculated in Step 4 is higher than the value obtained from Step 2, a higher n value is required and calculations are repeated. The results from each trial of calculations are presented below:

Assumed	vR	R	vR
---------	------	---	------

n Value	(Figure 4.4-1)	(eq. 4.4.9)	(eq. 4.4.10)
0.035	5.40	1.35	8.60
0.038	3.8	0.95	4.41
0.039	3.4	0.85	3.57
0.040	3.2	0.80	3.15

Select $n = 0.040$ for stability criteria.

(6) Use Figure 5-3 to select channel dimensions for a trapezoidal shape with 3:1 side slopes.

$$Q_n = (50)(0.040) = 2.0, S = 0.015$$

$$\text{For } b = 10 \text{ ft, } d = (10)(0.098) = 0.98 \text{ ft } b = 8 \text{ ft, } d = (8)(0.14) = 1.12 \text{ ft}$$

Select: $b = 10$ ft, such that R is approximately 0.80 ft
 $z = 3$
 $d = 1$ ft
 $v = 3.9$ ft/s (Equation 5.1)
 $Fr = 0.76$ (Equation 5.8)
Flow is subcritical

Design capacity calculations for this channel are presented in Example 3 below.

Example 3 -- Grassed Channel Design Capacity

Use a 10-ft bottom width and 3:1 side-slopes for the trapezoidal channel sized in Example 2 and find the depth of flow for retardance Class C.

(1) Assume a depth of 1.0 ft and calculate the following (see Figure 5-5):

$$A = (b + zd) d = [10 + (3)(1)](1) = 13.0 \text{ square ft}$$

$$R = \frac{[b + zd] d}{\{b + [2d(1 + z)^2]^{0.5}\}} = \frac{[10+(3)(1)](1)}{\{10+[(2)(1)(1+3)^2]^{0.5}\}}$$

$$R = 0.796 \text{ ft}$$

(2) Find the velocity: $v = Q/A = 50/13.0 = 3.85$ ft/s

(3) Find the value of vR : $vR = (3.85)(0.796) = 3.06$

(4) Using the vR product from Step 3, find Manning's n from Figure 5-1 for retardance Class C ($n = 0.047$)

(5) Use Figure 5-2 or Equation 5.1 to find the velocity for $S = 0.015$, $R = 0.796$, and $n = 0.047$: $v = 3.34$ ft/s

(6) Since 3.34 ft/s is less than 3.85 ft/s, a higher depth is required and calculations are repeated.

Results from each trial of calculations are presented below:

Velocity	Manning's
----------	-----------

Assumed Depth (ft)	Area ² (ft)	R (ft)	Q/A (ft/sec)	vR	n (Fig. 4.4-3)	Velocity (Eq. 4.4.11)
1.0	13.00	0.796	3.85	3.06	0.047	3.34
1.05	13.81	0.830	3.62	3.00	0.047	3.39
1.1	14.63	0.863	3.42	2.95	0.048	3.45
1.2	16.32	0.928	3.06	2.84	0.049	3.54

- (7) Select a depth of 1.1 with an n value of 0.048 for design capacity requirements. Add at least 0.2 ft for freeboard to give a design depth of 1.3 ft. Design data for the trapezoidal channel are summarized as follows:

Vegetation lining = grass mixture, $v_m = 4$ ft/s

$Q = 50$ cfs

$b = 10$ ft, $d = 1.3$ ft, $z = 3$, $S = 0.015$

Top width = $(10) + (2)(3)(1.3) = 17.8$ ft

n (stability) = 0.040, $d = 1.0$ ft, $v = 3.9$ ft/s, Froude number = 0.76 (Equation 5.8)

n (capacity) = 0.048, $d = 1.1$ ft, $v = 3.45$ ft/s, Froude number = 0.64 (Equation 5.8)

Example 4 -- Riprap Design

A natural channel has an average bankfull channel velocity of 8 ft per second with a top width of 20 ft and a bend radius of 50 ft. The depth over the toe of the outer bank is 5 ft. Available stone weight is 170 lbs/ft³. Stone placement is on a side slope of 2:1 (horizontal:vertical). Determine riprap size at the outside of the bend.

- (1) Use 8 ft/s as the design velocity, because the reach is short and the bend is not protected.
- (2) Determine the bend correction coefficient for the ratio of $R_b/T = 50/20 = 2.5$. From Figure 5-7, $C_b = 1.55$. The adjusted effective velocity is $(8)(1.55) = 12.4$ ft/s.
- (3) Determine the correction coefficient for the specific weight of 170 lbs from Figure 4.4-8 as 0.98. The adjusted effective velocity is $(12.4)(0.98) = 12.15$ ft/s.
- (4) Determine minimum d_{30} from Figure 4.4-9 or Equation 5.13 as about 10 inches.
- (5) Use a gradation with a minimum d_{30} size of 10 inches.
- (6) (Optional) Another gradation is available with a d_{30} of 8 inches. The ratio of desired to standard stone size is $8/10$ or 0.8. From Figure 5-10, this gradation would be acceptable if the blanket thickness was increased from the original d_{100} (diameter of the largest stone) thickness by 35% (a ratio of 1.35 on the horizontal axis).
- (7) Perform preliminary design. Make sure that the stone is carried up and downstream far enough to ensure stability of the channel and that the toe will not be undermined. The downstream length of protection for channel bends can be determined using Figure 5-6.

5.10 Gradually Varied Flow

5.10.1 Background

The most common occurrence of gradually varied flow in storm drainage is the backwater created by culverts, storm sewer inlets, or channel constrictions. For these conditions, the flow depth will be greater than normal depth in the channel and the water surface profile should be computed using backwater techniques.

Many computer programs are available for computation of backwater curves. The most general and widely used programs are, HEC-RAS, developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Bridge Waterways Analysis Model (WSPRO) developed for the Federal Highway Administration. These programs can be used to compute water surface profiles for both natural and artificial channels.

For prismatic channels, the backwater calculation can be computed manually using the direct step method. For an irregular nonuniform channel, the standard step method is recommended, although it is a more tedious and iterative process. The use of HEC-RAS is recommended for standard step calculations.

Cross sections for water surface profile calculations should be normal to the direction of flood flow. The number of sections required will depend on the irregularity of the stream and flood plain. In general, a cross section should be obtained at each location where there are significant changes in stream width, shape, or vegetal patterns. Sections should usually be no more than 4 to 5 channel widths apart or 100 feet apart for ditches or streams and 500 feet apart for floodplains, unless the channel is very regular.

5.10.2 Direct Step Method

5.10.3 Standard Step Method

5.11 Gradually Varied Flow-Example Problems

5.12 Rectangular, Triangular, and Trapezoidal Design Figures

5.12.1 Introduction

The Federal Highway Administration has prepared numerous design figures to aid in the design of open channels. Copies of these figures, a brief description of their use, and several example design problems are presented. For design conditions not covered by the figures, a trial and error solution of Manning's Equation must be used.

5.12.2 Description of Figures

Figures given in subsections 4.4.12, 4.4.13 and 4.4.14 at the end of this section are for the direct solution of the Manning's Equation for various sized open channels with rectangular, triangular, and trapezoidal cross sections. Each figure (except for the triangular cross section) is prepared for a channel of given bottom width and a particular value of Manning's n .

The figures for rectangular and trapezoidal cross section channels (subsection 4.4.12) are used the same way. The abscissa scale of discharge in cubic feet per second (cfs), and the ordinate scale is velocity in feet per second (ft/s). Both scales are logarithmic. Superimposed on the logarithmic grid are steeply inclined lines representing depth (ft), and slightly inclined lines representing channel slope (ft/ft). A heavy dashed line on each figure shows critical flow conditions. Auxiliary abscissa and ordinate scales are provided for use with other values of n and are explained in the example problems. In the figures, interpolations may be made not only on the ordinate and abscissa scales but between the inclined lines representing depth and slope.

The chart for a triangular cross section (subsection 4.4.13) is in nomograph form. It may be used for street sections with a vertical (or nearly vertical) curb face. The nomograph also may be used for shallow V-shaped sections by following the instructions on the chart.

5.12.3 Instructions for Rectangular and Trapezoidal Figures

Figures in subsection 4.4.12 provide a solution of the Manning equation for flow in open channels of uniform slope, cross section, and roughness, provided the flow is not affected by backwater and the channel has a length sufficient to establish uniform flow.

For a given slope and channel cross section, when n is 0.015 for rectangular channels or 0.03 for trapezoidal channels, the depth and velocity of uniform flow may be read directly from the figure for that size channel. The initial step is to locate the intersection of a vertical line through the discharge (abscissa) and the appropriate slope line. At this intersection, the depth of flow is read from the depth lines, and the mean velocity is read on the ordinate scale.

The procedure is reversed to determine the discharge at a given depth of flow. Critical depth, slope, and velocity for a given discharge can be read on the appropriate scale at the intersection of the critical curve and a vertical line through the discharge.

Auxiliary scales, labeled Q_n (abscissa) and V_n (ordinate), are provided so the figures can be used for values of n other than those for which the charts were basically prepared. To use these scales, multiply the discharge by the value of n and use the Q_n and V_n scales instead of the Q and V scales, except for computation of critical depth or critical velocity. To obtain normal velocity V from a value on the V_n scale, divide the value by n . The following examples will illustrate these points.


Example Design Problem 1

Given: A rectangular concrete channel 5 ft wide with $n = 0.015$, 0.06 percent slope ($S = 0.0006$), discharging 60 cfs.

Find: Depth, velocity, and type of flow

Procedure:

- (1) From **subsection 4.4.12** select the rectangular figure for a 5-ft width (Figure 5-11).
- (2) From 60 cfs on the Q scale, move vertically to intersect the slope line $S = 0.0006$, and from the depth lines read $d_n = 3.7$ ft.
- (3) Move horizontally from the same intersection and read the normal velocity, $V = 3.2$ ft/s, on the ordinate scale.
- (4) The intersection lies below the critical curve, and the flow is therefore in the subcritical range.



Source: Federal Highway Administration

Figure 4.4-11 Example Nomograph #1

Figure 5-11 Example Nomograph #1

Example Design Problem 2

Given: A trapezoidal channel with 2:1 side slopes and a 4 ft bottom width, with $n = 0.030$, 0.2% slope ($S = 0.002$), discharging 50 cfs.

Find: Depth, velocity, type flow.

Procedure:

- (1) Select the trapezoidal figure for $b = 4$ ft (see Figure 5-12).
- (2) From 50 cfs on the Q scale, move vertically to intersect the slope line $S = 0.002$ and from the depth lines read $d_n = 2.2$ ft.
- (3) Move horizontally from the same intersection and read the normal velocity, V

= 2.75 ft/s, on the ordinate scale. The intersection lies below the critical curve, V_c ; the flow is therefore subcritical.


Example Design Problem 3

Given: A rectangular cement rubble masonry channel 5 ft wide, with $n = 0.025$, 0.5% slope ($S = 0.005$), discharging 80 cfs.

Find: Depth velocity and type of flow

Procedure:


- (1) Select the rectangular figure for a 5 ft width (Figure 5-13).
- (2) Multiply Q by n to obtain Qn : $80 \times 0.025 = 2.0$.
- (3) From 2.0 on the Qn scale, move vertically to intersect the slope line, $S = 0.005$, and at the intersection read $d_n = 3.1$ ft.
- (4) Move horizontally from the intersection and read $V_n = 0.13$, then $V_n/n = 0.13/0.025 = 5.2$ ft/s.
- (5) Critical depth and critical velocity are independent of the value of n so their values can be read at the intersection of the critical curve with a vertical line through the discharge. For 80 cfs, on Figure 5-13, $d_c = 2.0$ ft and $V_c = 7.9$ ft/s. The normal velocity, 5.2 ft/s (from Step 4), is less than the critical velocity, and the flow is therefore subcritical. It will also be noted that the normal depth, 3.0 ft, is greater than the critical depth, 2.0 ft, which also indicates subcritical flow.
- (6) To determine the critical slope for $Q = 80$ cfs and $n = 0.025$, start at the intersection of the critical curve and a vertical line through the discharge, $Q = 80$ cfs, finding d_c (2.0 ft) at this point. Follow along this d_c line to its intersection with a vertical line through $Qn = 2.0$ (Step 2), at this intersection read the slope value $S_c = 0.015$.



Source: Federal Highway Administration

Figure 4.4-12 Example Nomograph #2

Figure 5-12 Example Nomograph #2



Source: Federal Highway Administration

Figure 4.4-13 Example Nomograph #3

Figure 5-13 Example Nomograph #3

5.12.4 Grassed Channel Figures

The Manning equation can be used to determine the capacity of a grass-lined channel, but the value of n varies with the type of grass, development of the grass cover, depth, and velocity of flow. The variable value of n complicates the solution of the Manning equation. The depth and velocity of flow must be estimated and the Manning equation solved using the n value that corresponds to the estimated depth and velocity. The trial solution provides better estimates of the depth and velocity for a new value of n and the equation is again solved. The procedure is repeated until a depth is found that carries the design discharge.

To prevent excessive erosion, the velocity of flow in a grass-lined channel must be kept below some maximum value (referred to as permissible velocity). The permissible velocity in a grass-lined channel depends upon the type of grass, condition of the grass cover, texture of the soil comprising the channel bed, channel slope, and to some extent the size and shape of the drainage channel. To guard against overtopping, the channel capacity should be computed for taller grass than is expected to be maintained, while the velocity used to check the adequacy of the protection should be computed assuming a lower grass height than will likely be maintained.

To aid in the design of grassed channels, the Federal Highway Administration has prepared numerous design figures. Copies of these figures are in [subsection 4.4.14](#). Following is a brief description of general design criteria, instructions on how to use the figures, and several example design problems. For design conditions not covered by the figures, a trial-and-error solution of the Manning equation must be used.

5.12.5 Grassed Channel Design Criteria

5.12.6 Description of Figures

The figures in [subsection 4.4.14](#) are designed for use in the direct solution of the Manning equation for various channel sections lined with grass. The figures are similar in appearance and use to those for trapezoidal cross sections described earlier. However, their construction is much more difficult because the roughness coefficient (n) changes as higher velocities and/or greater depths change the condition of the grass. The effect of velocity and depth of flow on n is evaluated by the product of velocity and hydraulic radius V times R . The variation of Manning's n with the retardance (Table 5-6) and the product V times R is shown in Figure 5-1. As indicated in Table 5-6, retardance varies with the height of the grass and the condition of the stand. Both of these factors depend upon the type of grass, planting conditions, and maintenance practices. Table 5-6 is used to determine retardance classification.

The grassed channel figures each have two graphs, the upper graph for retardance Class D and the lower graph for retardance Class C. The figures are plotted with discharge in cubic feet per second on the abscissa and slope in feet per foot on the ordinate. Both scales are logarithmic.

Superimposed on the logarithmic grid are lines for velocity in feet per second and lines for depth in feet. A dashed line shows the position of critical flow.

5.12.7 Instructions for Grassed Channel Figures

The grassed channel figures provide a solution of the Manning equation for flow in open grassed channels of uniform slope and cross section. The flow should not be affected by backwater and the channel should have length sufficient to establish uniform flow. The figures are sufficiently accurate for design of drainage channels of fairly uniform cross section and slope, but are not appropriate for irregular natural channels.

The design of grassed channels requires two operations: (1) selecting a section that has the capacity to carry the design discharge on the available slope and (2) checking the velocity in the channel to ensure that the grass lining will not be eroded. Because the retardance of the channel is largely beyond the control of the designer, it is good practice to compute the channel capacity using retardance Class C and the velocity using retardance Class D. The calculated velocity should then be checked against the permissible velocities listed in Tables 5-2 and 5-3. The use of the figures is explained in the following steps:

- (Step 1) Select the channel cross section to be used and find the appropriate figure.
- (Step 2) Enter the lower graph (for retardance Class C) on the figure with the design discharge value on the abscissa and move vertically to the value of the slope on the ordinate scale. At this intersection, read the normal velocity and normal depth and note the position of the critical curve. If the intersection point is below the critical curve, the flow is subcritical; if it is above, the flow is supercritical.
- (Step 3) To check the velocity developed against the permissible velocities (Tables 5-2 and 5-3), enter the upper graph on the same figure and repeat Step 2. Then compare the computed velocity with the velocity permissible for the type of grass, channel slope, and erosion resistance of the soil. If the computed velocity is less, the design is acceptable. If not, a different channel section must be selected and the process repeated.

Example Design Problem 1

Given: A trapezoidal channel in easily eroded soil, lined with a grass mixture with 4:1 side slopes, and a 4 ft bottom width on slope of 0.02 ft per foot ($S=0.02$), discharging 20 cfs.

Find: Depth, velocity, type of flow, and adequacy of grass to prevent erosion

Procedure:

- (1) From **subsection 4.4.13** select figure for 4:1 side slopes (see Figure 5-14).
- (2) Enter the lower graph with $Q = 20$ cfs, and move vertically to the line for $S=0.02$. At this intersection read $d_n = 1.0$ ft, and normal velocity $V_n 2.6$ ft/s.
- (3) The velocity for checking the adequacy of the grass cover should be obtained from the upper graph, for retardance Class D. Using the same procedure as in Step 2, the velocity is found to be 3.0 ft/s. This is about three-quarters of that listed as permissible, 4.0 ft/s in Table 5-3.


Example Design Problem 2

Given: The channel and discharge of Example 1.

Find: The maximum grade on which the 20 cfs could safely be carried


Procedure:

With an increase in slope (but still less than 5%), the allowable velocity is estimated to be 4 ft/s (see Table 5-3). On the upper graph of Figure 5-15 for short grass, the intersection of the 20 cfs line and the 4 ft/s line indicates a slope of 3.7% and a depth of 0.73 ft.



Source: Federal Highway Administration

Figure 4.4-14 Example Nomograph #4
 Figure 5-14 Example Nomograph #4




Source: Federal Highway Administration


Figure 4.4-15 Example Nomograph #5

Figure 5-15 Example Nomograph #5


5.13 Open Channel Design Figures




Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration







Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration



Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




CHANNEL
2:1 $b = 3$ FT.

Source: Federal Highway Administration




CHANNEL
2:1 b = 4 FT.


Source: Federal Highway Administration



Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




CHANNEL
2:1 $b = 7$ FT.

Source: Federal Highway Administration




CHANNEL
2:1 b = 8 FT.

Source: Federal Highway Administration




CHANNEL
2:1 b = 9 FT.

Source: Federal Highway Administration




CHANNEL
2:1 b = 10 FT.

Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration




CHANNEL
2:1 b = 14 FT.


Source: Federal Highway Administration



Source: Federal Highway Administration




Source: Federal Highway Administration



CHANNEL
2:1 b = 20 FT.


Source: Federal Highway Administration

5.14 Triangular Channel Nomograph





Source: Federal Highway Administration



5.15 Grassed Channel Design Figures





Source: Federal Highway Administration





Source: Federal Highway Administration



Source: Federal Highway Administration



Source: Federal Highway Administration



Source: Federal Highway Administration

5.16 References

- Chow, V. T., ed., 1959. Open Channel Hydraulics. McGraw Hill Book Co. New York.
- French, R. H., 1985. Open Channel Hydraulics. McGraw Hill Book Co. New York.
- Federal Highway Administration, 1989. Bridge Waterways Analysis Model (WSPRO), Users Manual, FHWA IP-89-027.
- Harza Engineering Company, 1972. Storm Drainage Design Manual. Prepared for the Erie and Niagara Counties Regional Planning Bd. Harza Engineering Company, Grand Island, NY.
- Maynord, S. T., 1987. Stable Riprap Size for Open Channel Flows. Ph.D. Dissertation. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO.
- Morris, J. R., 1984. A Method of Estimating Floodway Setback Limits in Areas of Approximate Study. In Proceedings of 1984 International Symposium on Urban Hydrology, Hydraulics and Sediment Control. Lexington, Kentucky: University of Kentucky.
- Peterska, A. J., 1978. Hydraulic Design of Stilling Basins and Energy Dissipators. Engineering Monograph No. 25. U. S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Washington, DC.
- Reese, A. J., 1984. Riprap Sizing, Four Methods. In Proceedings of ASCE Conference on Water for Resource Development, Hydraulics Division, ASCE. David L. Schreiber, ed.
- Reese, A. J., 1988. Nomographic Riprap Design. Miscellaneous Paper HL 88-2. Vicksburg, Mississippi: U. S. Army Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station.
- U. S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, 1973. Design Charts For Open Channel Flow. Hydraulic Design Series No. 3. Washington, DC.
- U. S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, 1983. Hydraulic Design of Energy Dissipators for Culverts and Channels. Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 14. Washington, DC.
- U. S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, 1984. Guide for Selecting Manning's Roughness Coefficients For Natural Channels and Flood Plains. FHWA-TS-84-204. Washington, DC.
- U. S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, 1986. Design of Stable Channels with Flexible Linings. Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 15. Washington, DC.

Wright-McLaughlin Engineers, 1969. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Vol. 2.
Prepared for the Denver Regional Council of Governments. Wright-McLaughlin
Engineers, Denver, CO